UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,448	01/27/2004	Udi Suissa	TI-34792	3215
	7590 04/27/200 RUMENTS INCORPOI	EXAMINER		
POBOX 6554		FOTAKIS, ARISTOCRATIS		
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/766,448	SUISSA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ARISTOCRATIS FOTAKIS	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth i er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of externing the period of the short in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount o ortened statutory period for reply origit	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complication of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a consequence.	sideration and/or search (see NOT); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 10 - 20. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2 - 8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails to provide a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F		condition for allowance because.
13.		
/Chieh M Fan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants submit that the limitation "receiving an input signal wherein frequency offsets have been translated to DC offsets" represents an actual physical signal, i.e., a "concrete thing" that can be physically measured. The above input signal is NOT a "mental process", "phenomena of nature" or "abstract intellectual concept". Applicants respectfully point out that in the step, limitation the "input signal" has direct current (DC) offsets. As such, the "input signal" is not and cannot be construed to be, nothing but "data", as determined by Examiner.

Examiner submits that whether the input signal is a DC offset, data or any other signal representation, any transformation or translation from one signal to another does not transform to a different state (i.e it still remains a signal which is a form of energy).

Secondly, the input signal wherein the frequency offsets have been translated to DC offsets occurs before the signal has been received and is not tied to the claim.

Third, the claims do not recite of transforming the frequency offsets to a dc offset. The input signal is already a DC offset.

Applicants submit that both the "input signal" and the "frequency compensated output signal" both have physical embodiments with the "frequency compensated output signal" being the result of the "transformation of underlying subject matter (e.g., the "input signal") to a different state or thing (e.g., the "frequency compensated output signal"). Moreover, certainly a machine of some sort would be required to subtract direct current (DC) offsets from said input signal to yield a frequency compensated output signal.

Examiner submits that subtracting one signal from another signal is an abstract algorithm and does not comply with the requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 101. One skilled in the art could have performed the mathematical operation of subtraction by hand. A machine is not necessarily required to subtract one signal (direct current (DC) offsets) from another signal (said input signal to yield a frequency compensated output signal).

Examiner further submits that whether the input signal is a DC offset, data or any other signal representation, any transformation or translation from one signal to another does not transform to a different state (i.e it still remains a signal which is a form of energy).